

**Subject:** Re: Homeless facilities  
**From:** SuellenWagner <swag1274@aol.com>  
**Date:** 05/14/2018 06:25 AM  
**To:** John Walker <john@thescbd.com>

Thanks, John. Do you have the link to LA City owned properties?

On May 13, 2018, at 9:04 PM, John Walker <[john@thescbd.com](mailto:john@thescbd.com)> wrote:

Hi Suellen:

I have shared all I know at this time - but that's why research is being proposed. The City is only looking at their properties right now and there needs to be a starting point. If you have suggestions or other destinations, please share them and I will see they get to the right people/departments.

Sent from my iPhone  
John T Walker  
Executive Director  
Studio City Business District  
818.655.5377

On May 13, 2018, at 6:46 PM, SuellenWagner <[swag1274@aol.com](mailto:swag1274@aol.com)> wrote:

Do you have details? How many vehicles?? How many individuals? Where do they go when they leave and before they arrive? . They will be lining our street in their RVs? How will you keep them from panhandling all day in the neighborhood? I don't believe my neighborhood wants to take one for the team. I am positive that there are more appropriate places. Maybe this proposed venue meets the 500 foot required distance but this is really pushing it, especially in a walking neighborhood where many children walk to school.

I oppose.

On May 13, 2018, at 4:25 PM, JOHN WALKER <[john@thescbd.com](mailto:john@thescbd.com)> wrote:

Hello Suellen:

We have already had this conversation and (I have sat down) with both the Council office and the Mayor's office.

The "site" in our BID (which is only under study) meets all the requirements for distance from schools, libraries and recreational facilities and, it's important to understand that this is a temporary situation with restricted hours - 10pm to 6am. All Vehicles must be legally registered and have insurance and all must adhere to all the rules and regulations that will be put in place after the study has been completed and before it is enacted by the Council.

The primary purpose is to allow for a safe sleeping area for individuals and families that will have washing/toilet facilities and Security during the occupied time. There will be STRICT GUIDELINES and no alcohol, drugs or any illegal activity will be tolerated or allowed. While it is not a locked-down facility, once the vehicle/occupants enter the structure, they will not be allowed to (wonder the streets).

This may not be what anyone wants but there is a reality to this issue and (in my opinion) it has become a humane one and it seems to be incumbent on us all to offer what we can.

Finally, as you already know, these areas of suggestion are all owned by the City.

This is all I know at this time.

John Walker  
Executive Director  
Studio City Business District  
4024 Radford Ave, Edit 2, Suite A  
Studio City, Ca 91604  
Ofc: 818.655.5377  
Fax: 818.655.8327  
[john@thescbd.com](mailto:john@thescbd.com)  
[www.thescbd.com](http://www.thescbd.com)

<image001.jpg>

<image002.png> <image003.png> <image004.png>

On May 13, 2018, at 3:07 PM, SuellenWagner  
<[swag1274@aol.com](mailto:swag1274@aol.com)> wrote:

Hi John,

Will the BID be taking a stand against placing homeless facilities within the BID at 12225 Ventura Bl. in Studio City?

This is a horrible idea for many reasons! Why would the City choose to give up our parking structure and push more visitors ( if they still want to visit) into our neighborhoods? The site at 12225 is within spitting distance to Bridges and Carpenter — 1400 kids — and to recreational facilities that serve families along the River and Weddington Golf and Tennis. We must not encourage or invite more homeless people to Studio City there are far more appropriate sites elsewhere!

Best regards,

Suellen

<18-0357\_mot\_04-20-2018.pdf>